UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,690	09/22/2003	Andrew Doddington	14846-15	9387
	7590 12/10/200 OHANNESEN, ESQ.	EXAMINER		
LOWENSTEIN	SANDLER, P.C.		LOVEL, KIMBERLY M	
65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE ROSELAND, NJ 07068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2167	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/667,690	DODDINGTON, ANDREW		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	KIMBERLY LOVEL	2167		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	rawn from consideration.			
<u> </u>				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the second state of the second sec	ecepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-17 are rejected.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10 November 2008 has been entered.

Claim Objections

- 3. The objection to Claim 11 has been withdrawn as necessitated by applicant's amendment.
- 4. Claim 1 is objected to because line 8 states "true" instead of tree. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

Art Unit: 2167

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The examiner fails to find support in the specification for the concept of the functions and the data being stored in one tree structure. According to the specification, it appears that the structure stores one of data and functions, not a combination of both.

35 USC § 101 – Clarifications

6. Claims 11-16 are directed towards an apparatus which includes a server. The server is construed as being a hardware server.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-3 and 9-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PGPub 2006/0059107 to Elmore et al (hereafter Elmore) in view of US PGPub 2004/0039964 to Russell et al (hereafter Russell).

Referring to claim 1, Elmore discloses a method for presenting data and functions to a user via a presentation layer [presentation layer 103], for use in a distributed processing system to effect an interface between a business layer [Business layer 101] and the presentation layer, the method comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 10/667,690

Art Unit: 2167

providing a data set structure which implements an abstract interface for use in both the business layer and the presentation layer, said data set structure comprising hierarchical organizational information for arranging data and functions [objects] into at least one tree structure [hierarchy], the tree structure being configured to store data and functions of arbitrary type (see [0051]; [0052]; [0094]; [0514]-[0516]; and Fig 18);

Page 4

populating a business layer data set in said business layer [business layer 101] according to said data set structure, said business layer data set comprising data and functions available for use in said business layer (see [0036]); and

populating a presentation layer data set in said presentation layer [presentation layer 103] according to said data set structure from said business layer data set, said presentation layer data set comprising data and functions available for use by the user in said presentation layer (see [0038]).

Elmore fails to explicitly disclose the further limitations of instantiating the business layer data set in said business layer as beans; serializing the beans into XML; transporting the serialized beans to the presentation layer using Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP); and deserializing the serialized beans in the presentation layer. Russell discloses presenting data and functions to a user via a presentation layer to effect an interface between a business layer [web service] and the presentation layer [a browser or client side code], including the further limitations of instantiating the business layer data set in said business layer as beans (see [0057] and [0061]); serializing the beans into XML (see [0057] and [0061]); transporting the serialized beans to the

Page 5

presentation layer using Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) (see [0048]); and deserializing the serialized beans in the presentation layer (see [0048]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the concept of processing the JavaBeans of Russell with the layers of Elmore. One would have been motivated to do so in order to increase system efficiency since it is well-known that JavaBeans are reusable software programs that are portable and platform-independent.

Referring to claim 2, the combination of Elmore and Russell (hereafter Elmore/Russell) discloses a method in accordance with claim 1 wherein providing a data set structure comprises providing a plurality of items, an item being an abstract element of arbitrary type, comprising a plurality of data items and a plurality of function items (Elmore: see Fig 18).

Referring to claim 3, Elmore/Russell discloses a method in accordance with claim 2 wherein providing a plurality of data items comprises providing a data value for each of said plurality of data items (Elmore: see [0052]).

Referring to claim 9, Elmore/Russell discloses a method in accordance with claim 2 wherein providing a plurality of function items comprises providing a function for each of said plurality of function items (Elmore: see [0059]).

Referring to claim 10, Elmore/Russell discloses a method in accordance with claim 2 wherein defining a plurality of function items comprises defining a function set for each of said plurality of function items (Elmore: see [0039]).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,690

Art Unit: 2167

Referring to claim 11, Elmore discloses an apparatus for use in a distributed data processing system comprising: at least a ser for:

Page 6

providing a data set structure which implements an abstract interface for storing available data and identification of function calls [objects], said data and function calls being arranged in at least one tree structure [hierarchy], the tree structure being navigable without regard to the type of data or function being configured to store data and functions or arbitrary type (see [0051]; [0052]; [0094]; [0514]-[0516] and Fig 18);

populating a business layer [business layer 101] configured to store data and identification of function calls that are available for use by said presentation layer [presentation layer 103] in accordance with said data set (see [0038]);

populating a presentation layer configured to store data and identification of function calls that are available for use by a user in accordance with said data set (see [0036]).

Elmore fails to explicitly disclose the further limitations of instantiating the business layer data set in said business layer as beans; serializing the beans into XML; transporting the serialized beans to the presentation layer using Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP); and deserializing the serialized beans in the presentation layer. Russell discloses presenting data and functions to a user via a presentation layer to effect an interface between a business layer [web service] and the presentation layer [a browser or client side code], including the further limitations of instantiating the business layer data set in said business layer as beans (see [0057] and [0061]); serializing the beans into XML (see [0057] and [0061]); transporting the serialized beans to the

Art Unit: 2167

presentation layer using Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) (see [0048]); and deserializing the serialized beans in the presentation layer (see [0048]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the concept of processing the JavaBeans of Russell with the layers of Elmore. One would have been motivated to do so in order to increase system efficiency since it is well-known that JavaBeans are reusable software programs that are portable and platform-independent.

Referring to claim 12, Elmore/Russell discloses an apparatus in accordance with claim 11 wherein said presentation layer is further configured to request data and identification of function calls from said business layer and to store said data and identification of function calls in accordance with said data set so that data and identification of function calls of said business layer can be available to said presentation layer (Elmore: see [0036]-[0039]).

Referring to claim 13, Elmore/Russell discloses an apparatus in accordance with claim 12 wherein said at least one server is configured to store data and identification of function calls that are available for use by said presentation layer in accordance with said data set wherein said at least one server provides unique data and identification of function calls to said presentation layer (Elmore: see [0036]-[0039]).

Referring to claim 14, Elmore/Russell discloses an apparatus in accordance with claim 13 wherein business layer function calls are available to said presentation layer for execution at said presentation layer via said data set (Elmore: see [0036]-[0039]).

Referring to claim 15, Elmore/Russell discloses an apparatus in accordance with claim 13 wherein business layer function calls are available to said presentation layer for execution at said business layer via said data set (Elmore: see [0036]-[0039]).

Referring to claim 16, Elmore/Russell discloses an apparatus in accordance with claim 13 wherein business layer function calls are available at said presentation layer for execution at both said presentation layer and at said business layer via said data set (Elmore: see [0036]-[0039]).

9. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PGPub 2006/0059107 to Elmore et al in view of US PGPub 2004/0039964 to Russell et al as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of US PGPub 2004/0230559 to Newman et al (hereafter Newman).

Referring to claim 4, while Elmore discloses domain objects (see [0052]), Elmore fails to explicitly disclose the further limitation of wherein defining a plurality of data items comprises defining a domain for each of said plurality of data items, the domain corresponding to the data type of a data item. Newman discloses multi-layer architecture (see abstract), including the further limitation wherein defining a plurality of data items comprises defining a domain for each of said plurality of data items, the domain corresponding to the data type of a data item (see [0122]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the concept of a domain disclosed by Newman to define the domain of Elmore. One would have been motivated to do so in order to clearly define the type of data a field can process.

Art Unit: 2167

Referring to claim 5, the combination of Elmore and Newman (hereafter Elmore/Newman) discloses a method in accordance with claim 4 wherein providing a domain for each of said data items comprises providing a domain home for each of said plurality of data items, the domain home being a means of locating a domain (Newman: see [0026]).

Referring to claim 6, Elmore/Newman discloses a method in accordance with claim 4 wherein providing a domain for each of said data items comprises providing a context for each of said plurality of data items, the context providing means for distinguishing between otherwise identical domains (Newman: see [0141]).

Referring to claim 7, Elmore/Newman discloses a method in accordance with claim 4 wherein providing a domain for each of said data items comprises providing a range domain for each of said plurality of data items, the range domain corresponding to those domains that have a continuous range of values, bound by an upper and lower limit [lists of acceptable values] (Newman: see [0024]).

Referring to claim 8, Elmore/Newman discloses a method in accordance with claim 4 wherein providing a domain for each of said plurality of data items comprises providing a discrete domain for each of said plurality of data items, the discrete domain corresponding to those domains that have an explicit list of permitted values [set of rules at the attribute level] (Newman: see [0025]).

Art Unit: 2167

10. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PGPub 2006/0059107 to Elmore et al in view of US PGPub 2004/0039964 to Russell et al in view of US PGPub 2004/0230559 to Newman et al.

Referring to claim 17, Elmore discloses a method for presenting data and functions to a user via a presentation layer [presentation layer 103], for use in a distributed processing system to effect an interface between a business layer [Business layer 101] and the presentation layer, the method comprising the steps of:

providing a data set structure which implements an abstract interface for use in both the business layer and the presentation layer, said data set structure comprising hierarchical organizational information for arranging data and functions [objects] into at least one tree structure [hierarchy], the tree structure being configured to store data and functions of arbitrary type (see [0051]; [0052]; [0094]; [0514]-[0516]; and Fig 18);

populating a business layer data set in said business layer [business layer 101] according to said data set structure, said business layer data set comprising data and functions available for use in said business layer (see [0036]); and

populating a presentation layer data set in said presentation layer [presentation layer 103] according to said data set structure from said business layer data set, said presentation layer data set comprising data and functions available for use by the user in said presentation layer (see [0038]).

Elmore fails to explicitly disclose the further limitation of the tree structure which provides a plurality of data items and a plurality of function items, wherein each of said plurality of data items provides a data value, a range domain, and a context, the range

Art Unit: 2167

domain having a domain home and wherein each of said plurality of function items provides at least one function.

Newman discloses multi-layer architecture (see abstract), including the further limitation of the tree structure which provides a plurality of data items and a plurality of function items, wherein each of said plurality of data items provides a data value, a range domain, and a context, the range domain having a domain home and wherein each of said plurality of function items provides at least one function (see [0024]; [0025]; [0026]; [0122] and [0141]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the concept of a domain disclosed by Newman to define the domain of Elmore. One would have been motivated to do so in order to clearly define the type of data a field can process.

Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed in regards to the prior art rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 12. The combination of Elmore and Russell is considered to teach the newly amended claim limitation for the reasons stated above in regards to the 112 1st rejection and the 35 USC 103(a) rejections.

Art Unit: 2167

Conclusion

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KIMBERLY LOVEL whose telephone number is (571)272-2750. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John R. Cottingham/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167

/Kimberly Lovel/ Examiner Art Unit 2167

8 December 2008 /kml/

Application/Control Number: 10/667,690

Page 14

Art Unit: 2167